



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,745	03/01/2000	MASAHIRO NOZAKI	AD652J	9818

7590 10/09/2003

WILLIAM H HAMBY  
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY  
LEGAL PATENTS  
WILMINGTON, DE 19898

EXAMINER
----------

WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1711

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

EXAMINER

DATE RECEIVED PAPER NUMBER

DATE RECEIVED

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) ☐ is extended to run \_\_\_\_\_ or continues to run \_\_\_\_\_ from the date of the final rejection
- b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

- ☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 8/4/2003 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☒ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a. ☒ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b. ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d. ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE:

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☒ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: NONE

Claims objected to: NONE

Claims rejected: 1, 3 and 4

However;

- ☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_

ANA WOODWARD  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 1) Applicants have not shown that the introduction of the graft modified polymer of EP 1325 would materially affect the basic characteristics of the claimed invention 2)

5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. the claimed priority to Jap. applic. filed 9/30/97 requires further consideration and 3) The claims do not preclude aromatic diamine

- ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.

- ☐ Other because claim 1 does not contain the language "a diamine component consisting of an aliphatic diamine"